

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/22/2003

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	09/744,692 06/12/2001		Massimo Aleardi	713-428	3317	
	75	590 04/22/2003				
	•	nan Gilman & Berner	EXAMINER			
Suite 310 1700 Diagonal Road				LE, THAN	LE, THANH TAM T	
	Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2839		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

P .		Application No		Applicant(s)						
		09/744,692		ALEARDI ET AL.						
* <	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Thanh-Tam T. Le		2839						
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on th cover	sheet with the co	orrespond nce ad	dress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status										
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 //</u>	March 2003 .								
2a)⊠	•	is action is non-fi	nal.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
Disposit	ion of Claims									
4)⊠	Claim(s) $\underline{1-5}$ is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.										
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirer	nent.							
	ion Papers									
,—	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
44)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			ved by the Examin	or					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	,—	,	ved by the Examin	ei.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.										
,	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	ummor.								
-		n priority upder 35	1190 8 110(a)	L-(d) or (f)						
	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
a)		s have been rece	ived							
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 									
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 5) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)										
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	4)		(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT						

Application/Control Number: 09/744,692

Árt Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reynolds et al. (4,261,629) in view of Pawlikowski (5,357,074).

Reynolds et al., figures 9 and 11, disclose a board-mounted electronic device comprising:

- a board (42) for supporting electronic components and supporting at least one conductive track to form an electric circuit (48);
- an insulated electric wire (64) having an inner conductor and an insulating sheath; and
- at least one terminal (2) for electrically connecting the circuit; The terminal
 comprising a metal blade (6) which is defined means for mechanically
 retaining and electrically connecting the electric wire and which acts on an
 end portion of the inner conductor;

Reynolds et al. disclose the instant claimed invention as described above except for the metal blade formed in one piece with the track of the circuit and bent into L outwards of the plane of the board.

Pawlikowski, figures 3 and 5, discloses a figure (20) having a pad-proximate



Application/Control Number: 09/744,692

Art Unit: 2839

surface (26) connects with contact pad (52) of the circuit board (50) by the fusible material (34), which read on the metal blade formed in one piece with the track of the circuit. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Reynolds et al. to have the pad-proximate surface, the fusible material and the L-shape's figure as taught by Pawlikowski, in order to reduce cost manufacture.

Regarding claim 2, Reynolds et al., figure 6, disclose the blade comprising a slot having a cutting edges for cutting the insulating sheath. The slot comprising a semicircular seat of a diameter substantially equal to the inner conductor of the electric wire and smaller than the diameter of the insulator sheath.

Regarding claim 3, Reynolds et al., figure 6, disclose the slot is substantially Vshaped groove for assisting insertion of the end of the electric wire inside the seat.

Regarding claim 4, it is noted that Pawlikowski discloses the circuit comprising a number of tracks, each defined by a semicut metal strip applied to the board.

Regarding claim 5, it is noted that Pawlikowski discloses the board is molded from synthetic plastic resin. The strips being co-molded with the board.

ation/Control Number: 09/744,692

7Art Unit: 2839

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 3/7/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant agues that a person of ordinary skill in the art would *not* have found the Examiner's suggestion or motivation of cost saving sufficient to make the proposed modification.

The Examiner disagrees. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Reynolds et al. to have the pad-proximate surface, the fusible material and the L-shape's figure as taught by Pawlikowski, in order to reduce cost manufacture because don't have to make hole in the printed circuit board.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Page 5

ation/Control Number: 09/744,692

Art Unit: 2839

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh-Tam T. Le whose telephone number is (703) 306-5711. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild can be reached on (703) 308-2710. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

TL. April 16, 2003

> LYNN FEILD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800